# MADLx: Setting Foundations to Measure ROI

# PROTOCOL/RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT: DI-MISC-80711A BOLD QUEST 20.2

6 January 2021

This work was supported by the U.S. Advanced Distributed Learning (ADL) Initiative (HQ0034-19-C-0027). The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the ADL Initiative or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Government purposes.



Distribution Statement A Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.

	REP	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188					
The public reporting gathering and maint information, includir 1215 Jefferson Dav penalty for failing to <b>PLEASE DO NO</b>	g burden for this coll aining the data neede ig suggestions for rec ris Highway, Suite 12 comply with a collec <b>DT RETURN YOU</b>	ection of information d, and completing and ducing the burden, to 04, Arlington, VA 2 tion of information if I <b>R FORM TO TH</b>	is estimated to average 1 hou d reviewing the collection of info Department of Defense, Wash 2202-4302. Respondents shou it does not display a currently ve IE ABOVE ADDRESS.	rr per response, incl ormation. Send com ington Headquarters uld be aware that no alid OMB control nur	luding the tin iments regard s Services, Di otwithstandin mber.	ne for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, ling this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of rectorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), Ig any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any	
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 2. REPORT TYPE					3. DATES COVERED (From - To)		
06-01-2021 Project Report			port	October - November 2020			
4. TITLE AND	SUBTITLE				ba. COI		
MADLx: Setting Foundations to Measure ROI Protocol/Recommendations Penert: DLMISC 20711A Pald Quart 20						HQ0034-19-C-0027	
Tiotocolineed	Junionations			. 20.2	5b. GR/	ANT NUMBER	
						5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
					0603769D8Z		
6 AUTHOR(S)					5d. PRC	DJECT NUMBER	
Presnall, Bilja	ina				1907		
ý J							
					Se. TASK NUMBER		
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Jefferson Institute 160 N Carolina Ave SE				I	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
Washington, I	DC 20003						
9. SPONSORIA	NG/MONITORING	G AGENCY NAM	E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES	)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
OUSD Person	nnel & Readine	ss				OUSD/P&R/FE&T/ADLI	
Advanced Dis	stributed Learn	ing Initiative				11 SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT	
Orlando, Florida 32826						NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUT	ION/AVAILABIL	TY STATEMEN	Г				
Distribution A	Δ						
13. SUPPLEME	NTARY NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT	Г						
The Coalition collaborative of Distributed La October 2020 Computers/Cy (ATAK), a su performance of on exercise per planning proc	Capability Der data collection, earning (ADL) at Camp Atter yber), agreed to ite of software data was shared erformance due ess and implen	monstration and and data analy Initiative's Ma bury, Indiana. To allow particip to provide geos with the MAE to COVID-19 mentation protoc	d Assessment series, k vsis to inform capabilit turing ADL in Exercis The exercise sponsor, , ants to receive pre-eve spatial information for DLx team for analysis. pandemic circumstanc col ("how to"), lessons	nown as Bold y developmen ses (MADLx) Joint Chiefs of ent online trair warfighter co This report pr ces. It docume a learned, and	Quest, fo to na Joi project p f Staff J-C ning focus of laboratio rovides th nts the A	osters Joint and Coalition resource pooling, int and Coalition scale. The Advanced articipated in Bold Quest 20.2 held in 6 (Command, Control Communications, & sed on the Android Tactical Assault Kit on. After the exercise, participant he results and findings and includes impacts DL contributions to the exercise, the indations.	
15. SUBJECT	EKIVIS						
16. SECURITY	CLASSIFICATIO	N OF:	17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NA	ME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	ADSTRACT	PAGES	Preston	Bush	
U	U	U	UU	6	19b. TEL	EPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 571-480-4640 Ext. 4659	
						Reset Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18	





January 6, 2021 PROTOCOL/RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT: DI-MISC-80711A BOLD QUEST 20.2

The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of any U.S. or foreign defense agency. This work was supported under contract with the ADL Initiative (HQ0034-19-C-0027).

# CONTENTS

BACKGROUND	2
THE PROBLEM	2
HYPOTHESIS	2
PLANNING	2
DATA COLLECTION	2
DATA COLLECTION METHODS	3
EXECUTION	3
KEY FINDINGS	4
	4

	5
CONCLUSIONS	5

## BACKGROUND

The objective of the MADLx Project is to build the foundations for measuring learning effectiveness in mission rehearsals to help management make better decisions about the best ways to use different learning approaches in multinational exercises. The goal of the research study is to develop and field test measurement standards with analytics-enhanced learning content in exercises and to visually represent those analytics in a dashboard.

#### THE PROBLEM

Even as the field of learning analytics becomes increasingly sophisticated, the U.S. currently lacks the capability to produce high-fidelity determinations of how our military exercises have specifically improved personnel readiness. This challenge is magnified in multinational environments due to a lack of standardized measures.

#### **HYPOTHESIS**

We can measure and visualize improved operations effectiveness by participants who utilize Advanced Distributed Learning (ADL) content<sup>1</sup>.

#### PLANNING

The Coalition Capability Demonstration and Assessment series, known as Bold Quest, fosters Joint and Coalition resource pooling, collaborative data collection, and data analysis to inform capability development on a Joint and Coalition scale. The live exercise was held from October 24 to October 30, 2020, at Camp Atterbury, Indiana, and included 54 participants down from the initially planned 108 participants. Due to the pandemic, the international participants canceled their participation.

The planning process included the preparation of a pretraining online course on the use of the ATAK system. We created a native xAPI course and enrolled all participants in an independent Learning Management System (LMS) for access. However, only international participants accessed the platform.

#### DATA COLLECTION

Unlike other exercises, data collection activities represented an integral part of the Bold Quest exercise, and they were performed in support of capability initiatives whose individual collection plans contained experimental and test-focused activities. This diverse data collection process included various military entities and occurred from November 6 to November 19, 2020. All data collection was limited to the essential information required to evaluate the success of the project.

The Jefferson Institute will maintain the data collected during the MADLx study for 180 days after the study is completed so it can be analyzed by the MADLx Testing and Evaluation Team with standard statistical and data visualization software. Subsequently, the nonattributable data will be transferred to the ADL Initiative for archiving, to support further research related to the effectiveness of learning in mission rehearsals, and to benefit eLearning researchers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bold Quest 20.2 Jefferson Institute Research Protocol

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Bold Quest 20.2 Data Collection and Analysis Protocol

#### DATA COLLECTION METHODS

The collection methods included the following data procedures at the live exercise:

- A designated Learning Record Store (LRS) collected data on participants who attended the online pretraining.
- Upon arrival, participants were asked to complete Informed Consent, Demographics, and additional knowledge and self-assessments, if designated.
- Upon commencement of training activities, participants complete a mission-related survey.
- Researchers observed participants' performance on meaningful activities tied to the training curriculum.
- System instrumentation and audio collections occurred during virtual or live scenario performance.
- Upon completion of each day's activities, participants completed the Mission Awareness Rating Scale (MARS), a reaction survey, and additional self-assessments.
- Upon completion of the exercise, participants completed an overall reactions survey and additional self-assessments.
- Researchers asked individual participants a series of questions in the form of semi-structured interviews.

# **EXECUTION**

Only 12 international (Belgian) participants and U.S. Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) attended the virtual learning environment for pretraining. Their interaction was modest and collected 4,164 xAPI statements. Because of the pandemic, Belgian participation in the exercise was cancelled, and their statements could not be compared to live exercise data. The Learning Management System (LMS) was the only xAPI statement source.

Excel files with cleaned demographic survey data and after-mission survey data were shared with MADLx project. However, other types of data collected by the exercise organizers were not sharable and ultimately were unavailable to the project. This included targeted sensor data, observations on participants' performance, and any other type of collected data.

Based on the provided self-assessments of the participants, we reconstructed their opinions on exercise performance and overall mission success. Opinions are organized into Squad (SQUAD) group, Leaders (LDR), Anonymous (ANON), and Exercise Control (EXCON). Participants rated both the mission's success from their perspective and their own performance, ranked on a scale of 1 (Not at all Successful) to 10 (Very Successful). The aggregated average results showed that EXCON performance matched the mission achievements at a very high rate. SQUAD average opinions were rated lower for both their performance and mission achievements. Similarly, the LDR group rated the achievements lower, but with less of a difference between the mission success and their performance. The ANON group (those participants might belong to any said group) rated the mission success highly but noted discrepancies with performance. (Figure 1)



#### Figure 1. Overall average performance

ADI demonstrated the canability to be integrated into and support ever
a highly disrupted exercise event.
<ul> <li>Security raised no issues for the LMS, LRS, and Learning Analytics Dashboard (LAD).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Early integration of ADL into exercise planning resulted in a match between learning objectives of eLearning courses and training objectives.</li> </ul>
• A native xAPI standardized course was used for the first time by the exercise organizer.
<ul> <li>Processing and delivery of analytics with useful visualizations was accelerated, especially for legacy analog paper-based reporting.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Multiple data streams on exercise participant performance offered resilience to analytics delivery, even as the primary performance data was made unavailable.</li> </ul>

# **KEY FINDINGS**

• The COVID-19 pandemic significantly disrupted exercise execution, with cascading negative impact on ADL execution as well.
<ul> <li>Targeted sensor data was ultimately unavailable to the project. Data that can land in SIPR is highly vulnerable to breakdown in coordination.</li> </ul>
• Last minute shifts in participants and support personnel resulted in U.S. exercise participants failing to utilize the online training assets.
• Institutional inertia behind a heavy reliance on paper reporting resulted in limited use of the online self-evaluation survey instrument.

### CONCLUSIONS

In the Bold Quest 20.2 Exercise, we were not able to measure and visualize improved operations effectiveness by participants who utilize ADL content because the COVID-19 pandemic undermined our ADL effort by eliminating international participation. We also were not able to utilize the ROI dashboard or give actionable analytics on the training environment to maximize performance success and improve the learning environment. However, we executed a solution that was fully integrated within the planning and operations environment of the existing exercise with a continuous supporting role. With early integration of ADL into exercise planning, we matched the eLearning courses to training objectives.

We recommend the following steps for ADL integration into Bold Quest exercises in the future:

- Assure ADL involvement in planning from an early stage, and directly link ADL with shortening the preparation time at the live event and consequent savings. (For example, make online preparation mandatory, and dedicate in-person time for other elements of mission preparation.)
- Establish protocols for U.S. military personnel to access online resources which reside internationally. (For example, Bold Quest 20.2 participants had difficulties accessing servers located in Germany.)
- Establish protocols for live-streaming non-classified data into the MADLx ROI dashboard, instead of
  relying on a totally paper-based collecting process, with its subsequent wait for the data. (For
  example, establish the role of the ROI dashboard in expansive analytical research done by other
  researchers in the Bold Quest exercise series.)